

notices by the least expensive means. For the purposes of this section, the provisions of section 60-105, Idaho Code, shall not apply. [1965, ch. 273, § 3, p. 701; am. 1978, ch. 255, § 1, p. 556; am. 1980, ch. 44, § 1, p. 72; am. 1980, ch. 212, § 1, p. 481; am. 1981, ch. 192, § 2, p. 338; am. 1981, ch. 245, § 1, p. 489; am. 1983 (Ex. Sess.), ch. 4, § 1, p. 23; am. and redesisg. 1992, ch. 263, § 10, p. 783; am. 1993, ch. 216, § 105, p. 587; am. 1993, ch. 245, § 3, p. 853; am. 1994, ch. 271, § 2, p. 834; am. 1994, ch. 371, § 3, p. 1194.]

Compiler's notes. This section was amended by two 1994 acts — ch. 271, § 2, and ch. 371, § 3, both effective July 1, 1994 — which do appear to conflict.

The amendment by ch. 271, § 2, added "including a citation to a specific federal statute or regulation if that is the basis of authority or requirement for the rulemaking" at the end of paragraph (1)(a); and in the PUBLIC NOTICE in paragraph (2)(a), substituted "and" for "[and]" following "complete text", which word was deleted by ch. 371.

The amendment by ch. 371, § 3, in paragraph (2)(a), deleted "but less than twelve (12) points," following "greater than seven (7) points," and substituted "A prominent bold typeface heading designed to alert readers to the rules and information contained in the notice. The notice shall include the agency name and address, rule number, rule subject matter as provided in paragraph (1)(b) of this section, and the comment deadline. A brief statement in a prominent bold typeface that informs citizens where they can view the administrative bulletin in hard copy or electronic form shall be included." for a form titled "PUBLIC NOTICE OF INTENT TO PROPOSE OR PROMULGATE NEW OR CHANGED AGENCY RULES"; and added the last sentence of paragraph (2)(b).

This section was formerly compiled as § 67-5203 and was amended and redesignated as § 67-5221 by § 10 of S.L. 1992, ch. 263, effective July 1, 1993.

Section 1 of S.L. 1981, ch. 192 is compiled as § 67-5201.

Section 11 of S.L. 1992, ch. 263 contained a repeal.

Sections 104 and 106 of S.L. 1993, ch. 216 are compiled as §§ 67-5206 and 67-5227, respectively.

Section 2 of S.L. 1993, ch. 245 is compiled as § 67-5205.

Section 2 of S.L. 1980, ch. 44 declared an emergency. Approved March 5, 1980.

Section 2 of S.L. 1983 (Ex. Sess.), ch. 4 declared an emergency. Approved May 19, 1983.

Section 4 of S.L. 1993, ch. 245 declared an emergency. Approved March 27, 1993.

Section 3 of S.L. 1994, ch. 271 is compiled as § 67-5223.

Section 2 of S.L. 1994, ch. 371 is compiled as § 67-5205.

Sec. to sec. ref. Sections 67-5221 through 67-5224 are referred to in § 67-5226.

Cited in: Intermountain Gas Co. v. Idaho Pub. Utils. Comm'n, 98 Idaho 718, 571 P.2d 1119 (1977).

ANALYSIS

Emergency rule-making.

Handbook adopted defectively.

Statements concerning internal management.

Emergency Rule-Making.

The Idaho Department of Health and Welfare clearly complied with the emergency rule-making provisions in adopting rules and regulations for pre-admission screening of nursing home patients for mental illness. *Idaho Health Care Ass'n v. Sullivan*, 716 F. Supp. 464 (D. Idaho 1989).

Handbook Adopted Defectively.

Where an administrative agency's policy and procedures manual was not adopted pursuant to the procedural requirements of this section, the agency's handbook had to be construed as merely an internal guideline capable of being changed by an agency head when necessary, not having the force and effect of law, and since the manual did not have the force and effect of law, no cause of action could be based on its alleged violation. *Service Employees Int'l Local 6 v. Idaho Dep't of Health & Welfare*, 106 Idaho 756, 683 P.2d 404 (1984).

Statements Concerning Internal Management.

Subdivision (7) of § 67-5201 does not provide for two types of rules, those that must be promulgated according to this section, and those that need not. Rather, subdivision (7) of § 67-5201 is a definitional term which provides that "statements concerning only the internal management of any agency and not affecting private rights or procedures available to the public . . ." are not rules; not being rules, they do not have the force and effect of law, and a violation of them does not create a private cause of action. *Service Employees Int'l Local 6 v. Idaho Dep't of Health & Welfare*, 106 Idaho 756, 683 P.2d 404 (1984).

67-5222. Public participation. — (1) Prior to the adoption, amendment, or repeal of a rule, the agency shall afford all interested persons reasonable opportunity to submit data, views and arguments, orally or in writing. The agency shall receive comments for not less than twenty-one (21) days after the date of publication of the notice of proposed rulemaking in the bulletin.

(2) When promulgating substantive rules, the agency shall provide an opportunity for oral presentation if requested by twenty-five (25) persons, a political subdivision, or an agency. The request must be made in writing and be within fourteen (14) days of the date of publication of the notice of proposed rulemaking in the bulletin, or within fourteen (14) days prior to the end of the comment period, whichever is later. An opportunity for oral presentation need not be provided when the agency has no discretion as to the substantive content of a proposed rule because the proposed rule is intended solely to comply:

- (a) with a controlling judicial decision or court order; or
- (b) with the provisions of a statute or federal rule that has been amended since the adoption of the agency rule. [I.C., § 67-5222, as added by 1992, ch. 263, § 12, p. 783.]

Compiler's notes. Section 11 of S.L. 1992, ch. 263 contained a repeal and § 10 is compiled as § 67-5221.

67-5223. Interim legislative review — Legislative hearings — Statement of economic impact. — (1) At the same time that notice of proposed rulemaking is filed with the coordinator, the agency shall provide the same notice, accompanied by the full text of the rule under consideration in legislative format, as well as a statement of the substance of the intended action, to the director of the legislative council. If the proposed rulemaking is based upon a requirement of federal law or regulation, a copy of that specific federal law or regulation shall accompany the submission to the director of the legislative council. The director of the legislative council shall analyze and refer the material under consideration to the germane joint subcommittee created in section 67-454, Idaho Code.

(2) If the germane joint subcommittee notifies the agency within fourteen (14) days of the date of publication of the notice of proposed rulemaking in the bulletin or within fourteen (14) days prior to the end of the comment period, whichever is later, that the subcommittee intends to hold a hearing on the proposed rulemaking within fourteen (14) days, the agency shall extend the comment period for such additional time as required to receive comments from the subcommittee. The notification from the germane joint subcommittee to the agency shall be sent to the agency and shall also be published in the bulletin.

(3) An agency shall prepare and deliver to the germane joint subcommittee a statement of economic impact with respect to a proposed rule if, within fourteen (14) days of the receipt of the proposed rule, the germane joint subcommittee files a written request with the agency for such a statement. The statement shall contain an evaluation of the costs and benefits of the

rule, including any health, safety, or welfare costs and benefits. The adequacy of the contents of the statement of economic impact is not subject to judicial review. [I.C., § 67-5223, as added by 1992, ch. 263, § 13, p. 783; am. 1994, ch. 271, § 3, p. 834.]

Compiler's notes. Section 2 of S.L. 1994, ch. 271 is compiled as § 67-5221.

Sec. to sec. ref. This section is referred to in §§ 33-105 and 67-5226.

Opinions of Attorney General. A nutrient management plan developed by the Idaho Department of Health and Welfare pursuant

to § 39-105 is subject to legislative review pursuant to this section and § 67-5291 and further, the limitation on authority granted to the department and the broad authority granted the board supports the conclusion that the plan is subject to review by the board. OAG 94-2.

67-5224. Final rule. — (1) Prior to the adoption, amendment, or repeal of a rule, the agency shall consider fully all written and oral submissions respecting the proposed rule.

(2) Subject to the provisions of subsection (3) of this section, the agency shall publish the text of the final rule in the bulletin. In addition, the agency shall publish a concise explanatory statement containing:

- (a) reasons for adopting the final rule; and
- (b) a statement of any change between the text of the proposed rule and the text of the final rule with an explanation of the reasons for any changes.

(3) With the permission of the coordinator, the agency need not publish in full the text of the final rule if no significant changes have been made from the text of the proposed rule as published in the bulletin, but the notice of adoption of the final rule must cite the volume of the bulletin where the text is available and note all changes that have been made.

(4) An agency shall not publish a final rule until at least seven (7) days after the close of all public comment.

(5) When the legislature approves, amends or modifies a rule pursuant to section 67-5291, Idaho Code, such rule shall become final upon adoption of the concurrent resolution or such other date specified in the concurrent resolution. Otherwise, except as set forth in sections 67-5226 and 67-5228, Idaho Code, no rule adopted by an agency shall become final until the conclusion of a regular or special legislative session to which such rule has been submitted for review. Provided however, that except as set forth in sections 67-5226 and 67-5228, Idaho Code, no rule imposing a fee or charge of any kind shall become effective until it has been approved, amended or modified by concurrent resolution. [I.C., § 67-5224, as added by 1992, ch. 263, § 14, p. 783; am. 1995, ch. 196, § 1, p. 686.]

Compiler's notes. Section 2 of S.L. 1995, ch. 196 is compiled as § 67-5226.

67-5225. Rulemaking record. — (1) Prior to the adoption, amendment, or repeal of a rule, the agency shall prepare a rulemaking record. The record shall be maintained in the main offices of the agency.

(2) The rulemaking record shall be available for public inspection and copying. The rulemaking record must contain:

- (a) copies of all publications in the bulletin;
- (b) all written petitions, submissions, and comments received by the agency and the agency's response to those petitions, submissions, and comments;
- (c) all written materials considered by the agency in connection with the formulation, proposal, or adoption of the rule;
- (d) a record of any oral presentations, any transcriptions of oral presentations, and any memorandum prepared by a presiding officer summarizing the contents of the presentations; and
- (e) any other materials or documents prepared in conjunction with the rulemaking.

(3) Except as otherwise required by a provision of law, the rulemaking record need not constitute the exclusive basis for agency action on that rule or for judicial review thereof.

(4) The record required in this section shall be maintained by the agency for a period of not less than two (2) years after the effective date of the rule. [I.C., § 67-5225, as added by 1992, ch. 263, § 15, p. 783; am. 1995, ch. 270, § 1, p. 868.]

Compiler's notes. Section 2 of S.L. 1995, ch. 270 is compiled as § 67-5230.

Sec. to sec. ref. This section is referred to in § 67-5275.

67-5226. Temporary rules. — (1) If the governor finds that:

- (a) it is reasonably necessary to protect the public health, safety, or welfare; or
- (b) compliance with deadlines in amendments to governing law or federal programs; or
- (c) conferring a benefit;

requires a rule to become effective before it has been submitted to the legislature for review the agency may proceed with such notice as is practicable and adopt a temporary rule. The agency may make the temporary rule immediately effective. The agency shall incorporate the required finding and a concise statement of its supporting reasons in each rule adopted in reliance upon the provisions of this subsection.

(2) A rule adopted pursuant to subsection (1) of this section which imposes a fee or charge may become effective under this section before it has been approved, amended or modified by concurrent resolution only if the governor finds that the fee or charge is necessary to avoid immediate danger which justifies the imposition of such fee or charge.

(3) In no case shall a rule adopted pursuant to this section remain in effect beyond the conclusion of the next succeeding regular session of the legislature unless such rule is approved, amended or modified by concurrent resolution, in which case such rule may remain in effect until the time specified in the resolution or until such rule has been replaced by a permanent rule adopted in accordance with the rulemaking requirements of this chapter.

(4) Temporary rules shall be published in the first available issue of the bulletin.

(5) Temporary rules are not subject to the requirements of section 67-5223, Idaho Code.

(6) Concurrently with the promulgation of a rule under this section, or as soon as reasonably possible thereafter, an agency shall commence the promulgation of a permanent rule in accordance with the rulemaking requirements of this chapter. [I.C., § 67-5226, as added by 1992, ch. 263, § 16, p. 783; am. 1995, ch. 196, § 2, p. 686.]

Compiler's notes. Sections 1 and 3 of S.L. 1995, ch. 196 are compiled as §§ 67-5224 and 67-5291, respectively.

67-5227. Variance between final rule and proposed rule. — An agency may adopt a final rule that varies in content from that which was originally proposed if the subject matter of the rule remains the same, the final rule is a logical outgrowth of the proposed rule, and the original notice was written so as to assure that members of the public were reasonably notified of the subject of agency action in order for such members of the public to determine whether their interests could be affected by agency action on that subject. [I.C., § 67-5227, as added by 1992, ch. 263, § 17, p. 783; am. 1993, ch. 216, § 106, p. 587.]

Compiler's notes. Sections 105 and 107 of S.L. 1993, ch. 216 are compiled as §§ 67-5221 and 67-5241, respectively.

67-5228. Exemption from regular rulemaking procedures. — An agency may amend a final rule to correct typographical errors, transcription errors, or clerical errors when the amendments are approved by the coordinator. Such amendments become effective without compliance with regular rulemaking procedures upon publication in the bulletin. [I.C., § 67-5228, as added by 1992, ch. 263, § 18, p. 783.]

67-5229. Incorporation by reference. — (1) An agency may incorporate by reference in its rules and without republication of the incorporated material in full, all or any part of a code, standard or rule which has been adopted by an agency of the state or of the United States or by any nationally recognized organization or association, if the incorporation of its text in the agency rules would be unduly cumbersome, expensive, or otherwise inexpedient. The agency shall, as part of the rulemaking:

- (a) note where copies of the incorporated material may be obtained; and
- (b) if otherwise unavailable, provide one (1) copy of the incorporated material to the state law library and to the coordinator.

(2) If the agency subsequently wishes to incorporate amendments to previously incorporated material, it shall comply with the rulemaking procedures of this chapter. [I.C., § 67-5203A, as added by 1980, ch. 212, § 2, p. 481; am. and redesign. 1992, ch. 263, § 19, p. 783.]

Compiler's notes. This section was formerly compiled as § 67-5203A and was amended and redesignated as § 67-5229 by § 19 of S.L. 1992, ch. 263, effective July 1, 1993.

Section 3 of S.L. 1980, ch. 212 is compiled as § 67-5217.

Section 20 of S.L. 1992, ch. 263 contained a repeal.

67-5230. Petition for adoption of rules. — (1) Any person may petition an agency requesting the adoption, amendment, or repeal of a rule. The agency shall either:

(a) deny the petition in writing, stating its reasons for the denial, or

(b) initiate rulemaking proceedings in accordance with this chapter.

The agency shall deny the petition or initiate rulemaking proceedings in accordance with this chapter within twenty-eight (28) days after submission of the petition, unless the agency's rules are adopted by a multimember agency board or commission whose members are not full-time officers or employees of the state, in which case the agency shall take action on the petition no later than the first regularly scheduled meeting of that board or commission that takes place seven (7) or more days after submission of the petition.

(2) An agency decision denying a petition is a final agency action. [1965, ch. 273, § 6, p. 701; am. and redesign. 1992, ch. 263, § 21, p. 783; am. 1995, ch. 270, § 2, p. 868.]

Compiler's notes. This section was formerly compiled as § 67-5206 and was amended and redesignated as § 67-5230 by § 21 of S.L. 1992, ch. 263, effective July 1, 1993.

Section 20 of S.L. 1992, ch. 263, contained a repeal and § 19 is compiled as § 67-5229.

Sections 1 and 3 of S.L. 1995, ch. 270 are compiled as §§ 67-5225 and 67-5250, respectively.

67-5231. Invalidity of rules not adopted in compliance with this chapter — Time limitation. — (1) Rules may be promulgated by an agency only when specifically authorized by statute. A final rule adopted after July 1, 1993, is voidable unless adopted in substantial compliance with the requirements of this chapter.

(2) A proceeding, either administrative or judicial, to contest any rule on the ground of noncompliance with the procedural requirements of this chapter must be commenced within two (2) years from the effective date of the rule. [I.C., § 67-5231, as added by 1992, ch. 263, § 22, p. 783.]

Sec. to sec. ref. This section is referred to in § 67-5273.

67-5232. Declaratory rulings by agencies. — (1) Any person may petition an agency for a declaratory ruling as to the applicability of any statutory provision or of any rule administered by the agency.

(2) A petition for a declaratory ruling does not preclude an agency from initiating a contested case in the matter.

(3) A declaratory ruling issued by an agency under this section is a final agency action. [1965, ch. 273, § 8, p. 701; am. and redesign. 1992, ch. 263, § 23, p. 783.]

Compiler's notes. This section was formerly compiled as § 67-5208 and was amended and redesignated as § 67-5232 by § 23 of S.L. 1992, ch. 263, effective July 1, 1993.

Section 24 of S.L. 1992, ch. 263 is compiled as § 67-5240.

Sec. to sec. ref. This section is referred to in § 67-5201.

67-5233 — 67-5239. [Reserved.]

67-5240. Contested cases. — A proceeding by an agency, other than the public utilities commission or the industrial commission, that may result in the issuance of an order is a contested case and is governed by the provisions of this chapter, except as provided by other provisions of law. [I.C., § 67-5240, as added by 1992, ch. 263, § 24, p. 783.]

Compiler's notes. Section 23 of S.L. 1992, ch. 263, is compiled as § 67-5232.

Sec. to sec. ref. Sections 67-5240 through 67-5255 are referred to in § 67-5206.

67-5241. Informal disposition. — (1) Unless prohibited by other provisions of law:

- (a) an agency or a presiding officer may decline to initiate a contested case;
- (b) any part of the evidence in a contested case may be received in written form if doing so will expedite the case without substantially prejudicing the interests of any party;
- (c) informal disposition may be made of any contested case by negotiation, stipulation, agreed settlement, or consent order. Informal settlement of matters is to be encouraged;
- (d) the parties may stipulate as to the facts, reserving the right to appeal to a court of competent jurisdiction on issues of law.

(2) An agency or a presiding officer may request such additional information as required to decide whether to initiate or to decide a contested case as provided in subsection (1) of this section.

(3) If an agency or a presiding officer declines to initiate or decide a contested case under the provisions of this section, the agency or the officer shall furnish a brief statement of the reasons for the decision to all persons involved. This subsection does not apply to investigations or inquiries directed to or performed by law enforcement agencies defined in section 9-337(5), Idaho Code.

(4) The agency may not abdicate its responsibility for any informal disposition of a contested case. Disposition of a contested case as provided in this section is a final agency action. [I.C., § 67-5241, as added by 1992, ch. 263, § 25, p. 783; am. 1993, ch. 216, § 107, p. 587.]

Compiler's notes. Sections 106 and 108 of S.L. 1993, ch. 216 are compiled as §§ 67-5227 and 67-5250, respectively.

67-5242. Procedure at hearing. — (1) In a contested case, all parties shall receive notice that shall include:

- (a) a statement of the time, place, and nature of the hearing;

(b) a statement of the legal authority under which the hearing is to be held; and

(c) a short and plain statement of the matters asserted or the issues involved.

(2) The agency head, one (1) or more members of the agency head, or one (1) or more hearing officers may, in the discretion of the agency head, be the presiding officer at the hearing.

(3) At the hearing, the presiding officer:

(a) Shall regulate the course of the proceedings to assure that there is a full disclosure of all relevant facts and issues, including such cross-examination as may be necessary.

(b) Shall afford all parties the opportunity to respond and present evidence and argument on all issues involved, except as restricted by a limited grant of intervention or by a prehearing order.

(c) May give nonparties an opportunity to present oral or written statements. If the presiding officer proposes to consider a statement by a nonparty, the presiding officer shall give all parties an opportunity to challenge or rebut it and, on motion of any party, the presiding officer shall require the statement to be given under oath or affirmation.

(d) Shall cause the hearing to be recorded at the agency's expense. Any party, at that party's expense, may have a transcript prepared or may cause additional recordings to be made during the hearing if the making of the additional recording does not cause distraction or disruption.

(e) May conduct all or part of the hearing by telephone, television, or other electronic means, if each participant in the hearing has an opportunity to participate in the entire proceeding while it is taking place.

(4) If a party fails to attend any stage of a contested case, the presiding officer may serve upon all parties notice of a proposed default order. The notice shall include a statement of the grounds for the proposed order. Within seven (7) days after service of the proposed order, the party against whom it was issued may file a written petition requesting the proposed order to be vacated. The petition shall state the grounds relied upon. The presiding officer shall either issue or vacate the default order promptly after the expiration of the time within which the party may file a petition. If the presiding officer issues a default order, the officer shall conduct any further proceedings necessary to complete the adjudication without the participation of the party in default and shall determine all issues in the adjudication, including those affecting the defaulting party. [1965, ch. 273, § 9, p. 701; am. and redesign. 1992, ch. 263, § 26, p. 783.]

Compiler's notes. This section was formerly compiled as § 67-5209 and was amended and redesignated as § 67-5242 by § 26 of S.L. 1992, ch. 263, effective July 1, 1993.

Sec. to sec. ref. This section is referred to in § 67-5249.

Cited in: Swisher v. State Dep't of Envtl. & Community Servs., 98 Idaho 565, 569 P.2d 110 (1977); Shokal v. Dunn, 109 Idaho 330, 707 P.2d 441 (1985); Department of Health &

Welfare v. Sandoval, 113 Idaho 186, 742 P.2d 992 (Ct. App. 1987).

ANALYSIS

Hearing.

Notice.

Official notice.

Prejudicial error.

Venue.

Hearing.

While a public utility is entitled to a hear-

ing prior to a commission determination that its filed rates are improper, it is not so entitled where the commission simply dismisses a defective application for a rate increase without prejudice to refile of the corrected application. *Intermountain Gas Co. v. Idaho Pub. Utils. Comm'n*, 98 Idaho 718, 571 P.2d 1119 (1977).

The Tax Commission's decision to refer for prosecution a case involving failure to file a state income tax return did not trigger the hearing requirement of this section. *State v. Staples*, 112 Idaho 105, 730 P.2d 1025 (Ct. App. 1986).

Notice.

Where the notice proposed to suspend the defendants' license for 60 days for violation of the gambling provision, the Idaho Department of Law Enforcement's notice of hearing reasonably informed the defendants of the issues and consequences confronting them at the hearing. *State, Dep't of Law Enforcement v. Engberg*, 109 Idaho 530, 708 P.2d 935 (Ct. App. 1985).

The purpose of the notice requirement in this section is to inform parties of the particular facts and issues to be addressed in the hearing, allowing an opportunity to prepare a defense. *State ex rel. Richardson v. Pierandozzi*, 117 Idaho 1, 784 P.2d 331 (1989).

Where, in an action to revoke defendants' liquor license a petition to revoke and a notice of revocation were personally served upon defendants more than four months before the hearing, and where three weeks before the hearing, a notice of hearing was mailed to defendants, taken together, the information contained in the three documents satisfied the notice requirement of the section. *State ex rel. Richardson v. Pierandozzi*, 117 Idaho 1, 784 P.2d 331 (1989).

Official Notice.

Where the public utilities commission took into consideration historical development of electrical rate structuring and made its considerations in light of current political, economic and environmental realities, it did not contravene this section and § 67-5210 as to

matters which may be officially noticed in a proceeding. *Grindstone Butte Mut. Canal Co. v. Idaho Pub. Utils. Comm'n*, 102 Idaho 175, 627 P.2d 804 (1981).

Prejudicial Error.

A claimant's contention that the record failed to disclose whether the appeals examiner considered any state memoranda or data was without merit, where the claimant failed to show whether any such material even existed, and she failed to show prejudicial error. *Guillard v. Department of Emp.*, 100 Idaho 647, 603 P.2d 981 (1979).

Venue.

Where there is no particularized showing that unfair prejudice resulted from the agency's choice of venue, the Court of Appeals will not disturb its eventual decisions. *Pence v. Idaho State Horse Racing Comm'n*, 109 Idaho 112, 705 P.2d 1067 (Ct. App. 1985).

This section provides only that an agency must provide notice of the time, place, and nature of a hearing. It does not fix venue in particular locations. *Pence v. Idaho State Horse Racing Comm'n*, 109 Idaho 112, 705 P.2d 1067 (Ct. App. 1985).

Opinions of Attorney General. This act applies to contested cases; 18 month permanency planning dispositional hearings held pursuant to the Adoption Assistance and Child Welfare Act of 1980, 42 USC 675(5) do not fall within the scope of "contested cases" as defined in the Administrative Procedure Act. OAG 88-9.

Collateral References. Administrative decision or finding based on evidence secured outside of hearing, and without presence of interested party or counsel. 18 A.L.R.2d 552.

Counsel's absence because of attendance on legislature as ground for continuance. 49 A.L.R.2d 1073.

Comment note on right to assistance by counsel in administrative proceedings. 33 A.L.R.3d 229.

Exceptions under 5 USC § 553(b)(A) and § 553 (b)(B) to notice requirements of Administrative Procedure Act rule making provisions. 45 A.L.R. Fed. 12.

67-5243. Orders not issued by agency head. — (1) If the presiding officer is not the agency head, the presiding officer shall issue either:

- (a) a recommended order, which becomes a final order only after review by the agency head in accordance with section 67-5244, Idaho Code; or
- (b) a preliminary order, which becomes a final order unless reviewed in accordance with section 67-5245, Idaho Code.

(2) The order shall state whether it is a preliminary order or a recommended order.

(3) Unless otherwise provided by statute or rule, any party may file a motion for reconsideration of a recommended order or a preliminary order

within fourteen (14) days of the issuance of that order. The presiding officer shall render a written order disposing of the petition. The petition is deemed denied if the presiding officer does not dispose of it within twenty-one (21) days after the filing of the petition. [I.C., § 67-5243, as added by 1992, ch. 263, § 27, p. 783.]

Sec. to sec. ref. This section is referred to in § 67-5245.

67-5244. Review of recommended orders. — (1) A recommended order shall include a statement of the schedule for review of that order by the agency head or his designee. The agency head shall allow all parties to file exceptions to the recommended order, to present briefs on the issues, and may allow all parties to participate in oral argument.

(2) Unless otherwise required, the agency head shall either:

(a) issue a final order in writing within fifty-six (56) days of the receipt of the final briefs or oral argument, whichever is later, unless the period is waived or extended with the written consent of all parties or for good cause shown;

(b) remand the matter for additional hearings; or

(c) hold additional hearings.

(3) The agency head on review of the recommended decision shall exercise all the decision-making power that he would have had if the agency head had presided over the hearing. [I.C., § 67-5244, as added by 1992, ch. 263, § 28, p. 783.]

Compiler's notes. Section 29 of S.L. 1992, ch. 263 contained a repeal.

67-5245. Review of preliminary orders. — (1) A preliminary order shall include:

(a) a statement that the order will become a final order without further notice; and

(b) the actions necessary to obtain administrative review of the preliminary order.

(2) The agency head, upon his own motion may, or, upon motion by any party shall, review a preliminary order, except to the extent that:

(a) another statute precludes or limits agency review of the preliminary order; or

(b) the agency head has delegated his authority to review preliminary orders to one (1) or more persons.

(3) A petition for review of a preliminary order must be filed with the agency head, or with any person designated for this purpose by rule of the agency, within fourteen (14) days after the issuance of the preliminary order unless a different time is required by other provision of law. If the agency head on his own motion decides to review a preliminary order, the agency head shall give written notice within fourteen (14) days after the issuance of the preliminary order unless a different time is required by other provisions of law. The fourteen (14) day period for filing of notice is tolled by the filing of a petition for reconsideration under section 67-5243(3), Idaho Code.